



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,733	02/19/2002	Gerhard Beckmann	21535-009	7617

35437 7590 03/03/2005

MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO
666 THIRD AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER

LE, HOA VAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1752

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/079,733	BECKMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoa V. Le	1752	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 15 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

- d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The arguments have been considered but are not found to be convincing.

There are on the record that (1) the claims were canceled, (2) the election was made without traverse and (3) prosecution on the merits is closed.

The non-elected inventions of claims 48-53, 60-63 and 67-68 were canceled.

The newly added claims 89-100 have not been considered, searched or examined.

A method or process claim may be entered provided that it must contain all of the limitations of an allowable material claim.

The canceled claims 48-53, 60-63 and 67-68 are improperly reentered with the same numbers as those being canceled.

They may be reentered with the new claim numbers, such as from claim 101.... They are also improper because they do not contain all of the limitations an allowable material claim.

No new response or amendment will be considered at this late state of the prosecution.

**HOA VAN LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Hoa Van Le
(571) 272-1332
Hoa V. Le
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1752